netic moment of Co(II) in $[(CH_3)_4N]_2$ - $[Co(NCS)_4]$ was measured in a tube carefully calibrated with HgCo(NCS)₄ using the susceptibility reported by Figgis and Nyholm^{7a,20} for the latter. Thus the difference in the moments of Co(II) in the two compounds is measured with maximal accuracy. It is seen that both the spectral data and the magnetic moments support the conclusion that in these compounds, as in those studied by Schäffer, attachment of the sulfur atoms enhances the ligand field at the ion to which the nitrogen atoms are coördinated.

Finally, we have prepared the complex $Co[(C_6-H_6)_3PO]_2(NCS)_2$, that is, the triphenylphosphine oxide analog of the triphenylphosphine complex discussed above, and studied its spectrum and magnetic moment. We have also measured the spectra and treated the spectral data along with previously reported magnetic data^{4,6} in the manner outlined in ref. 5. All of these data and derived quantities are reported in Table IV. It is evident from the comparisons exhibited there that in the phosphine oxide complex the thiocyanate ion is coördinated

(20) See the Experimental section for more detailed discussion of the accuracy of calibrations and comparisons of moments.

TABLE IV									
Some	PARAMETERS	OF	THE	Spectra	AND	ELECTRONIC			
Struc	ture of Co(II) IN	[Co{(C ₆ H ₅) ₃ PO	$_{2}X_{2}]$,	$X = -NCS^{-}$.			

	Co-, Br-	-	
	-NCS-	CI -	Br -
3. cm. ^{−1}	6900 ± 400	5710 ± 200	5560 ± 400
∕a. cm. ^{−1}	16,000	15,500	15,300
∆. cm. ^{−1}	4030 ± 300	3270 ± 150	3180 ± 300
B', cm1	725	760	754
∂ (− B'/B)ª	0.75	0.79	0.78
Magnetic moment, B.M	4.46	4.63°	4.69°
λ', cm, ⁻¹	148	156	164
^a $B(\text{free ion}) =$	967 cm1.	^b Measured	by present

authors. • Cf. ref. 4b and 6.

through nitrogen since both the spectra and the magnetic moments show that it is here providing a contribution to the ligand field which is far greater than that given by either Cl^- or Br^- rather than one intermediate between those due to these two ions.

Acknowledgments.—We are grateful to the United States Atomic Energy Commission for financial support under contact No. AT(30-1)-1965. We also thank Claus Schäffer and C. Klixbüll–Jörgensen for private discussions.

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE 39, MASS.]

Electronic Spectra of Some Tetrahedral Nickel(II) Complexes

By D. M. L. Goodgame, M. Goodgame and F. A. Cotton¹

Received April 24, 1961

The electronic spectra of eight tetrahedral complexes of nickel(II), viz., $[NiCl_4]^{2-}$, $[NiBr_4]^{2-}$, $[Ni(Ph_3PO)_2Cl_2]$, $[Ni(Ph_3PO)_2I_2]$, $[Ni(Ph_3PO)_2I_2]$, $[Ni(Ph_3PO)_2Cl_2]$ and $[Ni(Ph_4AsO)_2Br_2]$. have been carefully studied in the region of the two highest energy, spin-allowed bands, v_4 and v_2 . It is shown that the tetrahalo ions are very sensitive to solvolysis, even by nitromethane and acetonitrile, and that the spectra of the solvolyzed species, most probably $[NiX_{3}solven1]^-$, especially in the region of v_2 , are quite different from the true spectra of the $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ ions. The true spectra can be obtained by measuring solid compounds either by reflectance (v_2 only) or using mulls. It is then found that if excess X^- , in the form of almost completely, suppressed and the true $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ spectra obtained. From these spectra the values of Δ and B have been calculated using Liehr and Ballhausen's complete theory. The order of Δ values is $[NiI_4]^{2-} \sim [NiBr_4]^{2-} < [NiCl_4]^{2-}$. The five mixed ligand complexes are not so readily subject to solvolysis. Their spectra in the v_3 and v_2 regions are also reported and analyzed to yield Δ and B values. The oscillator strengths of the bands are reported and discussed. Some remarks on the relatively low magnetic moment of $[NiI_4]^{2-}$ are also given.

Introduction

It has been established conclusively during the last few years that tetrahedral complexes of nickel(II) do exist. It has been shown by Nyholm and Gill² and others^{3,4,5} that the tetrahedral tetrahalonickel(II) complex anions can exist in crystalline compounds provided the cations are large and also in solvents of only moderate dielectric constant and coördinating power. It is now also known that certain mixed ligand complexes are tetrahedral. The most numerous class of these have the general formula [NiL₂X₂]; they include three types: (1) those in which L is triphenyl-phosphine and X is Cl, Br or I^{6,7}; (2) those in which L is triphenyl-phosphine oxide and X is Cl, Br or

- (3) D. M. Gruen and R. L. McBeth, J. Phys. Chem., 63, 393 (1959).
- (4) F. Cotton and R. Francis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 2986 (1960).
- (5) F. Cotton and R. Francis, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 17, 62 (1961).
- (6) L. M. Venanzi, J. Chem. Soc., 719 (1958),

(7) F. A. Cotton, O. D. Faut and D. M. L. Goodgame, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 344 (1961).

I⁸; and (3) those in which L is triphenylarsine oxide and X is Cl or Br.⁹ The second established class of mixed ligand complexes are those of the stoichiometry [NiLX₃]⁻, and the known members of this class include some well-characterized compounds in which L is triphenylphosphine and X is Br or I⁷ as well as, probably, some similar ones which are not so well characterized.⁷

A study of the spectra of the mixed ligand complexes containing triphenylphosphine was published recently. It was shown that the ligands present in these species differ so much in their positions in the spectrochemical series that there are strong low symmetry components in the ligand fields which have quite pronounced effects on the spectra. For the complexes containing triphenylphosphine oxide and triphenylarsine oxide the low symmetry components are evidently rather small since no effects clearly attributable to them were found in the spectra^{8,9} nor did the magnetic moments of the

(9) D. M. L. Goodgame and F. A. Cotton, ibid., 82, 5774 (1960).

⁽¹⁾ Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow.

⁽²⁾ N. S. Gill and R. S. Nyholm, J. Chem. Soc., 3997 (1959).

⁽⁸⁾ F. A. Cotton and D. M. L. Goodgame, ibid., 82, 5771 (1960).



Fig. 1.—Spectra of $((C_6H_5)_3MeP)_2[NiCl_4]$ in the ν_2 region: (1) mull in hexachlorobutadiene; (2) 0.0104 M solution in acetonitrile; (3) 0.01 M solution plus 0.1 M $((C_6H_5)_3MeP)Cl$ in acetonitrile; (4) 0.01 M solution in nitromethane: (5) 0.01 M solution plus 0.1 M $((C_6H_5)_3-MeP)Cl$ in nitromethane.

compounds, which are rather sensitive in this respect, show any evidence of the existence of strong deviations from tetrahedral symmetry.^{8,9}

Before proceeding further with chemical studies of tetrahedral nickel(II) complexes, it seemed desirable to carry out a fairly thorough study of the spectra of those complexes which are truly tetrahedral, that is, the $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ species, and those which appeared, as noted above, to approximate very closely to being truly tetrahedral, that is, the $[Ni(Ph_3PO)_2X_2]$ and $[Ni(Ph_3AsO)_2X_2]$ compounds. For truly tetrahedral complexes, theoretical treatinent is feasible and, indeed, the necessary calculations for the analysis of the spectra have been made by Liehr and Ballhausen.¹⁰

Experimental

Preparation of Compounds. Cesium Tetrachloronickelate(II).—A solid solution of cesium tetrachloronickelate (II) in cesium tetrachlorozincate(II), (\sim 24 mole % Ni-(II)), was prepared by the method of Gruen and McBeth.³ We are indebted to Dr. Antony Blake for this sample. Methyltriphenylphosphonium Tetrachloronickelate(II).--

Methyltriphenylphosphonium Tetrachloronickelate(11).--On addition of ethyl acetate (20 ml.) to a hot solution of nickel chloride hexahydrate (1.58 g., 0.0067 mole) and methyltriphenylphosphonium chloride (4.18 g., 0.0132 mole) in anhydrous ethanol (12 ml.) blue crystals began to separate. After the mixture had cooled, these blue crystals were filtered off, washed with a 1:1 mixture of ethyl acetate and anhydrous ethanol (9 ml.) and dried *in vacuo* over sulfuric acid. The yield was 1.19 g. (24%). The compound melted at 198°.

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{38}H_{36}Cl_4NiP_2$: C, 60.44; H, 4.81; Cl, 18.78; P, 8.20. Found: C, 60.55; H, 5.16; Cl, 18.76; P, 8.10.

Tetraphenylphosphonium Tetrabromonickelate(II).—The preparation of this compound has been reported previously.⁷ Tetra-*n*-butylammonium Tetraiodonickelate(II).—A solu-

Tetra-n-butylammonium Tetralodonickelate(II).—A solution of nickel iodide (2.08 g., 0.0067 mole) and tetra-nbutylammonium iodide (4.92 g., 0.0133 mole) in anhydrous ethanol (20 ml.) was evaporated to very small volume on a water bath. A deep red solid separated, which was dried *in vacuo* over sulfuric acid. The compound melted at 115° . It was hygroscopic.

Anal. Caled. for $C_{32}H_{72}I_4NiN_2$: C, 36.56; H, 6.90; N, 2.67. Found: C, 36.67; H, 6.96; N, 2.91.

The compound was soluble in the cold to give red solutions in acetone, methylene chloride, chloroform and nitromethane. It was decomposed by alcohols, with the formation of

(10) A. D. Liehr and C. J. Ballhausen, Ann. Phys. (New York), 6, 134 (1959).



Fig. 2.—Spectra of $((C_6H_5)_4P)_2[NiBr_4]$ in the ν_2 region: (1) mull in hexachlorobutadiene; (2) 0.01 M solution in acetonitrile; (3) 0.01 M solution in acetonitrile plus 0.1 M $((C_6H_5)_{5}$ -*n*-BuP)Br; (4) 0.01 M solution in nitromethane; (5) 0.01 M solution in nitromethane plus 0.1 M $((C_6H_5)_3$ -*n*-BuP)Br.

yellow-green solutions, and was insoluble in benzene, chlorobenzene, ligroin, cyclohexane, dioxane and ethyl acetate. Electrolytic Conductance Measurements.—Electrolytic

Electrolytic Conductance Measurements.—Electrolytic conductance measurements were carried out using a Serfass bridge and a conventional cell, previously calibrated with an aqueous solution of potassium chloride.

Regulta	Molar conductance, olim ⁻¹ , 10 ⁻³ M	Tamp °C
Resints:	III CH31 O2	Temp., C.
$[\mathrm{Me}(\mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_5)_3\mathrm{P}]_2[\mathrm{Ni}\mathrm{Cl}_4]$	158	26.3
$[n-\mathrm{Bu}_4\mathrm{N}]_2[\mathrm{NiI}_4]$	168	25.6

The molar conductance of a 10^{-3} M solution of tetraphenylphosphonium tetrabromonickelate in nitrobenzene at 26.9° previously has been reported to be 61.7 ohm^{-1,7} Magnetic Measurements.—Measurements of the magne-

Magnetic Measurements.—Measurements of the magnetic susceptibility of tetra-*n*-butylammonium tetraiodonickelate(II) were made using the Gouy method as previously described.¹¹

Results:		$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{x_m \ corr.} \\ \times \ 10^6 \\ \mathrm{c.g.s.u.} \end{array}$	Dia- magnetic corr. × 10 ⁶	µeff, B.M.
$[n-\mathrm{Bu}_4\mathrm{N}]_2[\mathrm{NiI}_4]$	300.0	4970	612	3.47 ± 0.04
	73.7	17820	612	3.25 ± 0.04

Spectral Measurements.—The reflectance spectrum of tetra-*n*-butylammonium tetraiodonickelate(II) was measured with a Beckman DU spectrophotometer, using a standard reflectance attachment and magnesium carbonate as the reference. A Cary Model 14 recording spectrophotometer was used for all other spectra. The results are shown in Table I and Figs. 1–3.

Discussion

In this discussion, the reader's familiarity with or access to the theoretical treatment of tetrahedrally coördinated Ni(II) given by Liehr and Ballhausen¹⁰ will be assumed. We have found quite generally that the data reported here cannot be interpreted satisfactorily with any less rigorous treatment. In particular, the use of the relatively simple Tanabe and Sugano¹² matrices, in which spin-orbit coupling is ignored, leads to internal inconsistencies and unlikely Δ values. In these complexes, the λ values are larger relative to the orbital level separations than for any other metal

(11) R. H. Holm and F. A. Cotton, J. Chem. Phys., **31**, 788 (1959).
(12) Y. Tanabe and S. Sugano, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **9**, 753 (1954).

ion of the first transition series excepting only Cu(II).

Table I summarizes numerically all of our experimental observations. The strong, multicomponent bands observed in the visible spectra are designated ν_3 since they are assigned to the highest of the three expected spin-allowed bands. The distinctly weaker but still relatively strong bands found between 6500 and 10,000 cm.⁻¹ are assigned to the second highest of the three expected spinallowed transitions and are therefore listed in the column headed ν_2 .

The position of ν_1 for all of the complexes can be calculated to be below our range of observation (~4500-25,000 cm.⁻¹), namely, in the region of 3000 to 4000 cm⁻¹. Unambiguous recognition of these ν_1 bands would be a difficult matter in any event, since this same region will include vibrational bands due to C-H fundamentals in the complex organic cations and solvents, as well as O-H bands due to any moisture present. Therefore, no attempts were made to study the region of ν_1 for these complexes. In the columns of Table I headed ${}^{1}G$ and ${}^{1}D$ are listed weak bands which we assign as spin-forbidden transitions from the ${}^{3}\Gamma_1$ ground state to certain states arising from the ${}^{1}G$ or ${}^{1}D$ states of the free ion.

Spectra of the Tetrahalo Ions .--- An important qualitative observation to be made concerning the spectra of these complex ions is their marked sensitivity, especially for the ν_2 bands, to the environment of the complex species. In Figs. 1-3 the spectra of the three $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ species in the region of the ν_2 bands are plotted so as to show the effects of changes in the environment of the ions. The same general features are found in all three cases, but the details differ from one to another. Our general interpretation is as follows. The spectra of the crystalline compounds in the form of hexachlorobutadiene mulls are assumed to be spectra of the true $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ anions. Since the upper state for ν_2 is not orbitally degenerate, the additional complexity of the absorption in the ν_2 region for the solutions cannot be attributed to splitting of the upper state in solvated species. Instead, it must be ascribed to the presence of tetrahedral species other than the undistorted $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ ones in the solutions. It seems likely that these other species would be $[NiX_3(solvent)]^-$ or similar ones in which halide ions have been displaced by solvent molecules. Since the halide ions lie at the weak end of the spectrochemical series, it might then also be expected that the ν_2 bands due to solvent-containing species would be at higher energies than those due to the $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ ions.

The $[NiCl_4]^{2-}$ Ion.—The mull spectrum of the methyltriphenylphosphonium salt of this ion shows only one rather symmetrical band at 7272 cm.⁻¹. In acetonitrile solution the band maximum is displaced somewhat, to 7550 cm.⁻¹, and shows a definite asymmetry indicative of an unresolved weak band on the high energy side. In nitromethane there is a band at 7520 cm.⁻¹ and a second one of about the same intensity at 8620 cm.⁻¹. If, as suggested above, the higher frequency absorptions are properly attributed to solvolyzed



Fig. 3.—Spectra of $(n-\operatorname{Bu}_4N)_2[\operatorname{NiI}_4]$ in the ν_2 region: (1) mull in hexachlorobutadiene; (2) 0.002 M solution in nitromethane; (3) 0.002 M solution in nitromethane plus 0.1 M ($n-\operatorname{Bu}_4N$)I; (4) 0.01 M solution in nitromethane plus 0.5 M ($n-\operatorname{Bu}_4N$)I.

species, it should be possible to suppress them by introducing excess halide ion into the solutions.¹³ In each of these cases, use of solvent containing 0.1 mole/1. of $((C_6H_5)_3MeP)Cl$ instead of pure solvent completely suppresses the high energy absorption as seen in Fig. 1. The addition of the excess phosphonium chloride also modifies the ν_3 absorption, though less dramatically.

 ν_3 absorption, though less dramatically. Now that the "true" ν_2 bands of [NiCl₄]²⁻ are identified in the various media, it is of some interest to note the variability in their frequencies, viz., from 7272 cm.⁻¹ for crystalline ((C₆H₅)₃MeP)₂-[NiCl₄] to 7750 cm.⁻¹ for $Cs_2[(Zn_{0.76}Ni_{0.24})Cl_4]$, with the frequencies for the solutions lying between these extremes. It should first be noted³ that the energy of the ${}^{3}A_{2}$ state (the upper state for ν_{2}) is a very steep function of Δ ; indeed, the entire range of ν_2 values, from 7270 to 7750 cm.⁻¹, covers a range in Δ of only 190 cm.⁻¹ The much smaller variability in the energy of ν_3 is expected theoretically, since a change of ~ 200 cm.⁻¹ in Δ alters the energy of the ${}^3\mathrm{T}_1(\breve{P})$ levels by only \sim 125 cm.⁻¹, a magnitude barely outside the range of experimental uncertainties. We believe that these variations in the position of ν_2 must be due mainly to variations in the compressive force to which the [NiCl₄]²⁻ complex ion is subjected in the various environments and perhaps also to varying degrees of polarization of the coördinated anions by the cations present. In particular, it seems quite reasonable that in the host Cs2ZnCl4 lattice the compression would be greater than in the $((C_6H_5)_{3})_{3}$ -MeP)₂NiCl₄ lattice because of the smaller size of Cs^+ compared to $((C_6H_5)_3MeP)^+$ and especially because of the smaller size of Zn²⁺ which will tend to cause a contraction of the whole array compared to the dimensions it would assume if these were dictated entirely by Ni²⁺ ions. In this connection the interesting high pressure studies on $((C_6H_5)_{4})_{4}$ As)₂NiCl₄ by Stephens and Drickamer¹⁴ may be noted. They find that the frequency of ν_2 changes

⁽¹³⁾ This technique of adding excess halide salt already has been used by Gill and Nyholm² in studying the v² bands of several complexes.
(14) D. R. Stephens and H. G. Drickamer, J. Chem. Phys., **35**, 429 (1961).

TABLE I

VISIBLE ABSORPTION SPECTRA OF SOME TETRAHEDRAL NICKEL(II) COMPLEXES

Absorption maxima. cm.⁻¹ (molar extinction coefficients for solutions)

Compound	State ^a	CTB6	\mathcal{F}_{G}			1.3		1D	r e
Cs2NiCl4 24 inole % in CstZnCl4	Solid, C4Cla mull		~20620 sh		~ 16400 sh,	15150,	14200	~11400 sh	7750
(Me(C6H5)2P)2(NiCl4)	Solid, C4Cle mull MeCN (0.0104 <i>M</i>) 0.1 <i>M</i> (Me(C6H4)3P)Cl in MeCN (0.01 <i>M</i>) MeNO2 (0.01 <i>M</i>) 0.1 (Me(C6H6)3P)Cl in MeNO1 (0.61 <i>M</i>)		∼19800 sh	∼17570 sh,	~16000 sb. ~15950 sb. ~16000 sb. ~16080 sb.	15110, 14080 15240(160), 15220(196), 15200(140), 15150(190),), $\sim 13040 \text{ sin}$ 14250(160) 14180(202) 14220(134) 14080(197)	11600 11630(6.2) 11630(8.4) 11630(5.6) 11630(7.5)	$\begin{array}{r} 7272 \\ \sim 8700 \text{sh}, 7549(21) \\ 7407(22) \\ 8621(18), 7519(18) \\ 7407(22) \end{array}$
((CeH5)4P)2(NiBr4)	Solid, reflectance Solid, C4Cle mull MeCN (0.01 <i>M</i>) 0.1 <i>M</i> ((C6H5)3-n-Bu4)Br in MeCN (0.01 <i>M</i>) MeNO2 (0.01 <i>M</i>) 0.1 <i>M</i> (C6H5)3-n-Bu4)Br in MeNO2 (0.01 <i>M</i>)		21000 18000 18200 20000(13.6), 19230 sh 21190(5.4)	~16300 sh, ~16700 sh ~16500 sh, ~16700 sh,	~14810 slı, ~15060 sh, 15380(189), ~15200 sh, 15380(159), ~15200 sh,	13800, 14100, 14180(155), 14140(250), 14290(163), 14120(237),	12900 12900 ~13500 sh 13230(241) 13500(124) 13210(225)	10400 ~10500 sh 10680(4.7) ~10720(5.7)	$\begin{array}{c} 7040 \\ \sim 8620 {\rm sh}, \ 8098(40)^d \\ 6995(30) \\ 8475(38), \ 6993 {\rm sh} \\ 8450 {\rm sh}, \ 6995(30) \end{array}$
n-(B114N)2(Nil4)	Solid, reflectance C4Cls mull McNO: (0.002 <i>M</i>) 0.1 <i>M</i> (<i>n</i> -Bu4N):I in MeNO: (0.002 <i>M</i>) 0.5 <i>M</i> (<i>n</i> -Bu4N):I in MeNO: (0.01 <i>M</i>)	19420 19230 18870(1100)	14880, 13330 14810, ∼13640	14180(275 14530 sh, 14530 sh,)) ^b 14039(242), 14030(242),	~11900 sh, 12050, 12800 sh, 12800 sh,	11300 11250 11480 sh 11480 sh		6954 8300(78) 8300(65) 6940 sh 8330 sh 7042(55)
(Ni{(C6H5)3PO};Cl2}	Solid, reflectance Solid, C4Cl6 mull		22470 22420		16260, 16310,	14290 14470		∼12120 sli ~12120 sli	7288
(Ni{(C6H6)3PO}2Br2]	Solid, reflectance Solid, C4C46 mull Acetone (0.01 M)		20530 20410 20490(21.7)		15750, 15720 15580(101,) ~	14290 14370 ~14290 sh		∼11980 sh ∼11930 sh	7143 7250(19)
{Ni{(CsH6}2PO}2]2]	Solid, reflectance Solid, C4Cl6 mull C6H4Cl (0.005 M) Acetone (0.01 M)		18350 18690 ∼18620 sh		14880, 14900, ~ 15100(137), ~ 14010(136), ~	13330 ~13500 slı ~13680 slı ~12500 slı			7124 7078(18.2) 7255(19.2)
[Ni{(C6H6)3AsO}2Cl2]	Solid reflectance Solid, C4Cl¢ mull C4H4Cl (0.005 M)		~22220 slı ~21370 slı	\sim 17390 sh	16530, 16670, 16470(79),	14560 14780 15020(76.5)		11950 12176 12350(6.5)	7974 7861(15)
{Ni{(CaHa):AsO}2Br2}	Solid, reflectance Solid, CaCla mull CaHaCl (0.005 M)		20410 ∼20600 sh		15639 ~ 15720 15920(184),	~14710 sh 14750 14600(164)		11700 11920 ~11960 sb	7692 7654(27)

^a Figures in parentheses are the molar concentrations of the nickel complexes. ^b CTB = charge transfer band, ^c Center of broad band. ^d Broad asymmetric band with a long iow-energy "tail," probably concealing a band at lower energy; sh = shoulder.

4164

by about + 20 cm.⁻¹ per kilobar, while that of ν_3 changes by only about 4 cm.⁻¹ per kilobar. Our result for ν_2 compares well with that of Stephens and Drickamer at 1 bar, *viz.*, 7500 cm.⁻¹, and with the recent report¹⁵ of a broad band with a maximum between 7500 and 7600 cm.⁻¹ for a mull of an unspecified [NiCl₄]²⁻ salt.

The $[NiBr_4]^2$ Ion. For the $((C_6H_5)_4P)$ salt of this ion, the mull spectrum shows the ν_2 band to be at 7040 cm.⁻¹. In pure acetonitrile, there is only one band in this region which has its maxiinum at 8048 cm.⁻¹ with a rather long low-energy tail, which might be due to the presence of a weak broad band around 7000 cm.-1. When acetonitrile containing 0.1 mole/l. of $((C_6H_5)_3-n-BuP)Br$ is used instead of the pure solvent, a remarkable tranformation (Fig. 2) takes place, the spectrum now consisting of only one symmetrical band with a maximum at 6995 cm.⁻¹. In pure nitromethane, the strongest absorption is a band centered at 8475 cm.⁻¹, but there is a distinct band at 6993 cm.⁻¹. On using a 0.1 M solution of $((C_6H_5)_{3}-n-BuP)Br$ in nitromethane instead of the pure solvent, the high-energy band is reduced to a mere shoulder and the major absorption has a maximum at 6990 cm.⁻¹. We therefore conclude that ν_2 for

[NiBr₄]² has a frequency of 6990–7040 cm.⁻¹. **The** [NiI₄]²⁻ Ion.—The mull spectrum of [*n*-Bu₄N]₂[NiI₄] shows a symmetrical r_2 band at 6954 cm.⁻¹. In nitromethane solution this band is not discernible at all, being replaced by one at 8300 cm.⁻¹. However, with progressive increase in the concentration of (*n*-Bu₄N)]I the true ν_2 band at ~7000 cm.⁻¹ grows in with concomitant decrease in the intensity of the spurious band. It was found that acetonitrile attacked this compound so severely as to give a turbid solution, so no attempt was made to study it in this solvent.

Electronic Structure Parameters.—These computations were made using the results of Liehr and Ballhausen¹⁰ in which λ is assigned the value -275 cm.⁻¹ and F₂ is taken as 14F₄ (equivalent to C/B = 3.9). The parameters Δ (= 10 Dg) and B were adjusted to give an exact fit for ν_3 (the energy being estimated as the center of gravity of all components of the absorption in the visible) and ν_2 . Table II gives the values of Δ and B along with a comparison of the observed and calculated positions of some small bands which may be assigned as spin-forbidden transitions to upper states arising from the ¹D and ¹G states of the free ion. These acquire intensity by mixing, via spinorbit coupling of the upper "singlet" states with nearby "triplet" states of the same symmetry.

The Δ values lead to the following order of the halide ions in the spectrochemical series: $I^- \sim Br^- < Cl^-$. The closeness of I^- and Br^- here is noteworthy. They are close (e.g., in $[CoBr_4]^{2-}$ and $[CoI_4]^{2-}$) but more often with $I^- < Br^-$. It is interesting to compare the values of Δ in these tetrahedral nickel(II) complexes (Δ_t) with those reported by Asmussen and Bostrup¹⁶ for octahedrally coördinated nickel(II), (Δ_0). For octahedral chloro species, they report Δ_0 to be

(15) S. Buffagni and T. M. Dunn, Nature, 188, 937 (1960).

(16) R. W. Asmussen and O. Bostrup, Acta Chem. Scand., 11, 745 (1957).

about 7100 cm.⁻¹ which makes the ratio Δ_t/Δ_0 about 0.51, while for the octahedral bromo species they give Δ_0 values of about 6900 cm.⁻¹, making Δ_t/Δ_0 about 0.49. These ratios are in reasonable agreement with the theoretical ratio of 4/9 =0.444 for pure electrostatic complexes.¹⁷

The β values show that the order of the halide ions in the nephelauxetic series is Cl < Br < I, in order of increasing cloud-expanding effect.

Our results are in satisfactory agreement with those of Weakliem,¹⁸ who finds for Ni²⁺ in Cs₂-ZnCl₄ $\Delta = 3850$, B = 725 cm⁻¹ and for Ni²⁺ in Cs₂ZnBr₂ $\Delta = 3300$ and B = 695 cm⁻¹.

The Magnetic Moment of [NiI4]2-.--In their original report of the preparation of the $[NiI_4]^2$ -ion, as the methyltriphenylarsonium salt, Gill and Nyholm² gave for μ_{eff} at room temperature 3.49 B. M., a value well below those found for other tetrahedral nickel(II) complexes in which the four ligand atoms are identical or differ little in their positions in the spectrochemical series $(3.7-4.0 \text{ B.M.}^{2,8,9})$. They suggested that this might be due to mixing of the ${}^{3}T_{1}$ ground state with the ${}^{3}A_{2}$ excited state, thus diminishing the orbital angular momentum in the former. Our analysis¹⁹ indicates that there are no matrix elements between the T_1 and A_2 states; moreover, the results given in Table II show that there is no sharp decrease in Δ for $[NiI_4]^{2-}$ as compared to its value in [NiCl₄]²⁻ and [NiBr₄]²⁻ such as would be necessary to explain the appreciably lower value of μ_{eff} by this or any other mechanism depending on a mixing of states inversely proportional to the first power of Δ . Two other explanations for the low value of μ_{eff} in $[NiI_4]^2$ have been considered here.

First, if it be assumed that the effective value of λ , the spin-orbit coupling constant, is 20-25% higher in $[NiI_4]^{2-}$ than in the other complexes (which is equivalent to assuming that the iodide ions cause less of a decrease in λ from the free ion value than do Cl⁻ or Br⁻) the value of μ_{eff} at room temperature would be \sim 3.5 B.M. according to the theoretical results given by Figgis.²⁰ There is, fortunately, a direct test of this hypothesis, which consists in measuring μ_{eff} at a much lower temperature. The theory²⁰ shows that at liquid nitrogen temperature the moment should be ~ 1.8 B.M. We find for the tetra-*n*-butyl-ammonium salt of $[NiI_4]^{2-}$, however, a drop to only 3.25 B.M. at 74°K. so that this explanation is also untenable. Since the $[NiI_4]^{2-}$ ion seems the least stable one, and also the most deformable, we believe that the most likely explanation of the low moment for Ni(II) in $[NiI_4]^{2-}$ is that distortion of the complex in the crystal causes considerable splitting and separation of the ground state multiplet $({}^{3}\Gamma_{1}, {}^{3}\Gamma_{3}, {}^{3}\Gamma_{4} \text{ and } {}^{3}\Gamma_{5} \text{ of } {}^{3}T_{1}(F))$. It has already been shown for the $[Ni((C_{e}H_{5})_{3}P)_{2}X_{2}]$ compounds that the introduction of low-symmetry components into the tetrahedral fields about (17) C. J. Ballhausen, Kg. Danske Videnskab, Selskab, Mat. fys. Medd., 29, No. 4 (1954).

(18) H. A. Weakliem, R.C.A. Laboratories, private communication. (19) In the group T_d. L·S transforms as T₁ and the direct product $A_1 \times T_1 \times T_1 = A_1 + E + T_1 + T_2$. Hence, matrix elements of the sort $(A_1 \mid L \cdot S \mid T_1)$ are identically zero.

(20) B. N. Figgis, Nature, 182, 1568 (1958).

Table II

LIGAND FIELD STRENGTHS, RACAH PARAMETERS AND SOME CALCULATED SPIN-FORBIDDEN TRANSITIONS FOR THE [NIX4]²⁻ IONS

		A .G.	P'a	0 h	Upper sta	tes of spin	n.forbidden tr	anstitions
Compound	Medium	cm1	cm1	(=B'/B)	Obsd.	Calcd.	Obsd.	Calcd.
Cs ₂ [NiCl ₄]	Cs_2ZnCl_4	3770	750	0.728	11400	11340	~ 20620	$20220(\Gamma_{3}]$
$(CH_3(C_6H_5)_3P)_2[NiCl_4]$	Mull	3580	746	. 724	11600	11270	\sim 19800	$19780(\Gamma_{3})$
	0.1 M [MePh ₃ P]Cl in CH ₃ CN	3610	765	.742	11630	11490	Not obsd.	
	$0.1 M [MePh_3P]Cl in CH_3NO_2$	3610	765	.742	11630	11490	Not obsd.	
$((C_6H_5)_4P)_2[NiBr_4]$	Mull	3380	691	.671	~ 10500	10600	18200	$18360(\Gamma_3)$
	0.1 M [Ph3-n-BuP]Br in							
	CH3CN	3380	713	.692	10680	10820	Not obsd.	
	$0.1 M (Ph_3-n-BuP)Br$ in							
	CH_3NO_2	3380	713	.692	10720	10820	Not obsd.	· · · · · · .
$(n-\mathrm{Bu}_4\mathrm{N})_2[\mathrm{NiI}_4]$	Mull	3350	572	. 555	Not obsd.		\sim 13640	$13280(\Gamma_{5})$
							14810	$14890(\Gamma_4)$
	$0.5 M [n-Bu_4N]I$ in CH_3NO_2	3380	с	с	Not obsd.		Not obsd.	

^a Δ and B' were calculated from the energies of ν_2 and ν_3 and the calculated energies of these transitions therefore match the experimental values exactly. ^b B for the free Ni(II) ion equals 1030 cm.⁻¹. ^c B' was not determined since the ν_3 band is too strong to measure under these conditions.

Table III

LIGAND FIELD STRENGTHS, RACAH PARAMETERS AND SOME CALCULATED SPIN-FORBIDDEN TRANSITIONS FOR THE [Ni- $((C_6H_6)_3MO)_2X_2$] COMPLEXES (M = P.As; X = Cl, Br, I)

				0 h	Upper states of spin-forbidden transitions			
Compound	Medium	Δ^{μ}	B'a	(=B'/B)	Obsd.	Calcd.	Obsd.	Calcd.
$Ni((C_6H_3)_3PO)_2Cl_2$	Mull	3580	800	0.777	12120	11860	22420	$20900(\Gamma_{3})$
$Ni((C_6H_5)_3PO)_2Br_2$	Mull	3460	780	. 757	11930	11640	20410	$20370(\Gamma_3)$
	Acetone	3500	790	. 767	Not obsd.	11790	20490	$20600(\Gamma_{3})$
$Ni((C_6H_5)_3PO)_2I_2$	Mull	3440	736	.715	Not obsd.	11120	18690	$18430(\Gamma_4)$
								$19370(\Gamma_{5})$
	C_6H_5Cl	3420	770	.747	Not obsd.	11570	18620	$18880(\Gamma_1)$
	Acetone	3500	696	.676	Not obsd.	10630	Not obsd.	
$Ni((C_6H_5)_3AsO)_2Cl_2$	Mull	3920	790	. 767	12170	11870	21370	$21270(\Gamma_{3})$
	$C_6H_{a}Cl$	3850	847	.822	$\sim\!\!12350~{ m sh}$	12450	Not obsd.	
$Ni((C_6H_5)_3AsO)_2Br_2$	Mull	3750	778	. 755	11920	11680	${\sim}20660~{ m sh}$	$20750(\Gamma_{3})$
	C_6H_5C1	3730	810	.786	\sim 11960 sh	12050	Not obsd.	

^{*a*} Δ and *B*' were calculated from the energies of ν_2 and ν_3 and the calculated energies of these transitions therefore match the experimental values exactly. ^{*b*} *B* for the free Ni(II) ion equals 1030 cm.⁻¹.

Ni(II) causes marked reductions in the magnetic moments.⁷ In regard to the susceptibility of the $[NiI_4]^{2-}$ ion to deformation by its surroundings, results obtained in This Laboratory for $[CoI_4]^{2-}$, which must surely be rather similar in this respect, show that it also exhibits marked sensitivity to its environment.²¹

The Neutral Complexes.—In none of these compounds were any major medium effects noted, the media used being the pure crystalline compounds, acetone and chlorobenzene. Hence the interpretation of the data proceeds straightforwardly. The Δ and B' values, estimated from the energies of the ν_2 and ν_3 bands, using Liehr and Ballhausen's equations,¹⁰ are given in Table III along with a comparison of the calculated and observed band positions for some spin-forbidden bands.

This series of compounds is the most extensive one of its type so far studied in this way and several definite conclusions about the ligands can be drawn. First, intercomparison of the Δ and B'values for the three triphenylphosphine oxide complexes and for the two triphenylarsine oxide complexes demonstrates quite clearly that in the

(21) F. A. Cotton, M. Goodgame and D. M. L. Goodgame, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, in press.

spectrochemical series the order of the halide ions is I \gtrsim Br < Cl and, a little less neatly, due to the small variations from one medium to another, that in the nephelauxetic series the order is Cl < Br < I. These results are in agreement with the accepted orders. Furthermore, comparison of the results for the two analogous pairs of phosphine oxide and arsine oxide complexes shows that the order of these two ligands in the spectrochemical series is, decidedly, $(C_6H_5)_3PO < Ph_3AsO$, while in the nephelauxetic series there is no difference outside experimental uncertainties. Finally, comparison of the data for the $[Ni((C_6H_5)_3PO)_2X_2]$ compounds with data for the $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ complexes shows that $(C_6H_5)_3PO$ is at about the same position as Cl^- in the spectrochemical series, and weaker than Cl^{-} (*i.e.*, $(C_6H_5)_3PO < Cl^- < Br^- < I^-)$ in the nephelauxetic series. Insofar as comparisons can be made with analogous or related complexes of Co(II),²¹ these conclusions are substantiated by the Co(II) work.

Band Intensities.—For most of the complexes studied we have made graphical estimates, which should be accurate to within $\pm 10\%$, and probably less, of the oscillator strengths of the observed bands. This was not done for $[Ni((C_8H_5)_3PO)_2Cl_2]$, since only reflectance and null spectra are available for this complex, nor for $[NiI_4]^{2-}$ because

TABLE IV

Oscillator Strengths of the Absorption Bands"								
Compound	Solvent	fνs	fvz	$f\nu_3/f\nu_2$				
$((C_6H_5)_3MeP)_2[NiCl_4]$	CH ₂ CN	2.30×10^{-3}	2.01×10^{-4}	11.4				
	CH ₃ NO ₂	2.21×10^{-3}	2.35×10^{-4}	9.40				
	0.1 M ((C ₆ H _b) ₃ MeP)Cl in CH ₃ NO ₂	2.24×10^{-3}	1.42×10^{-4}	15.8				
$((C_6H_5)_4P)_2[NiBr_4]$	CH_3NO_2	3.12×10^{-3}	4.85×10^{-4}	6.44				
	CH₃CN	$2.97 imes10^{-3}$	4.28×10^{-4}	6.94				
	0.1 M ((C ₆ H ₅) ₃ -n-BuP)Br in CH ₃ CN	3.15×10^{-3}	2.61×10^{-4}	12.1				
$[\mathrm{Ni}((\mathrm{C_6H_5})_3\mathrm{PO})_2\mathrm{Br_2}]$	Acetone	1.59×10^{-3}	1.63×10^{-4}	9.75				
$[Ni((C_6H_5)_3PO)_2I_2]$	C_6H_5Cl	2.06×10^{-3}	1.08×10^{-4}	19.1				
$[Ni((C_6H_5)_8AsO)_2Cl_2]$	C_6H_5C1	$1.52 imes 10^{-3}$	1.21×10^{-4}	12.6				
$[Ni((C_6H_5)_3AsO)_2Br_2]$	C ₆ H _b Cl	2.92×10^{-3}	1.79×10^{-4}	16.3				

^a The estimates include all absorption in the region of the ν_i concerned. Thus, for the ν_2 bands, the intensity of the absorption known to be due to solvolyzed species is included.

heavy ultraviolet absorption trailing off into the region of ν_3 makes accurate intensity assessment difficult.

The most striking aspect of the intensity data is the relatively high intensity of the bands of the tetrahedral nickel(II) complexes as compared to the band intensities for octahedral complexes of nickel(II). The ratio is about 10^2 . This effect is quite general, having been found for Co-(II),^{21,22} Mn(II)^{23,24} and Cu(II),¹⁷ and Ballhausen and Liehr have discussed the possible reasons for it.²⁵ The intensity ratios show that

(22) F. A. Cotton and M. Goodgame, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 1777 (1961).

(23) D. M. L. Goodgame and F. A. Cotton, J. Chem. Soc., Aug.(1961).
(24) F. A. Cotton, D. M. L. Goodgame and M. Goodgame, J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.

(25) C. J. Ballhausen and A. D. Liehr, J. Mol. Spect., 2, 342 (1958);
 4, 190 (1960).

 ν_3 is generally 10 to 20 times stronger than ν_2 . This is in agreement with the fact that in the strong field limit ν_3 remains a one-electron transition while ν_2 becomes a two-electron transition.

It is also noteworthy that the intensity of ν_3 is relatively insensitive to solvolysis effects, whereas for ν_2 the solvolyzed species are more strongly absorbing than are the $[NiX_4]^{2-}$ ions.

Acknowledgments.—The generous financial support of the United States Atomic Energy Commission (Contract No. AT(30-1)-1965) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Professor H. G. Drickamer and Dr. Herbert Weakliem for informing us of some of their results in advance of publication and Dr. A. D. Liehr for sending us some numerical results of the Liehr and Ballhausen calculations.

[Contribution from the Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn. Brooklyn. New York, and the University of Michigan. Ann Arbor. Michigan]

Hetero-binuclear Chelates of Copper(II) and Silver(I)

By C. H. LIU AND CHUI FAN LIU

RECEIVED MAY 4, 1961

Interaction between silver(I) ion and bis-(pyridine-2-aldoxime)-copper(II) ion has been investigated by potentiometric measurements. The hetero-binuclear chelate involved has also been isolated as the perchlorate and examined by infrared spectroscopy.

In a previous investigation,¹ it has been shown that monohydrogen bis-(pyridine-2-aldoxime)-copper(II) ion has the structure



The acidic hydrogen is intramolecularly bonded in a six-membered ring. From this structure, it would appear that the complex itself might form chelates with other metal ions through the oximate

(1) C. H. Liu and Chui-Fan Liu, work presented at the 138th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New York, 1960. groups. The present work is concerned with the interaction between the complex ion and silver(I) ion. This interaction has been studied by measuring the two competing equilibria

$$HC^{+} \xrightarrow{} H^{+} + C^{0}$$
$$AgC^{+} \xrightarrow{} Ag^{+} + C^{0}$$

where HC^+ is the monohydrogen bis-(pyridine-2aldoxime)-copper(II) ion, AgC^+ is the analogous silver complex, and C^0 is the basic form of the complex. For the second equilibrium, neglecting activity coefficients

$$K_{\rm d} = \frac{[{\rm Ag}^+][{\rm C}^0]}{[{\rm AgC}^+]} \tag{1}$$

and

$$[Ag^+] = \frac{K_d[AgC^+]}{[C^0]}$$
(2)